



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,751	01/04/2001	Pnina Fishman	2786-0142 P 4072		
1444 7590 02/23/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	LEWIS, PATRICK T			
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1623		
		DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/700,751	FISHMAN, PNINA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patrick T. Lewis	1623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 November 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 10,12,15-18,41,42 and 44-80 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 02222005.						
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12182003</u> .		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Species (b) in Paper No. 12, received November 21, 2002, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not state that the election requirement was traversed and did not distinctly and specifically point out any supposed errors in the election requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse.

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 10, 12 and 15-18, drawn to a method for therapeutic treatment to induce G-CSF production or secretion in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an amount of an A3-selective adenosine A3 receptor agonist (A3RAg).
- Group II, claim(s) 41-42 and 44-80, drawn to a method to treat abnormal cell proliferation in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an amount of an A3-selective adenosine A3 receptor agonist (A3RAg).

3. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature linking claims 10, 12, 15-18, 41-42 and 44-80 appears to be that they all relate to methods for using adenosine A3 receptor agonists, for example to induce G-CSF secretion or to treat abnormal cell growth.

However, KOHNO (1449, mailed April 29, 2002) teaches that adenosine A3 receptor agonists induce apoptosis in HL-60 human promyelocytic leukemia cells. See Abstract. Therefore, by 1996, methods to treat abnormal cell growth using adenosine A3 receptor agonists were known in the art.

The special technical feature of Group I is considered to be methods for therapeutic treatment to induce G-CSF production or secretion in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an amount of an A3-selective adenosine A3 receptor agonist (A3RAg).

The special technical feature of Group II is considered to be methods to treat abnormal cell proliferation in a subject in need thereof comprising administering to the subject an amount of an A3-selective adenosine A3 receptor agonist (A3RAg).

Accordingly, Groups I and II are not linked by the same or corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/700,751

Art Unit: 1623

Contacts

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-

0655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10 am to 3 pm (Maxi

Flex).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick T. Lewis, PhD

Examiner

Art Unit 1623

ptl

JAMES O. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600